Personal

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1929.

(LB)

File No. 29-29

Serial Nos. 10, 11, 12, 15, 16 18

Later References

LB 29-29-SS 25 to 27

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial Nos. 6.

Part B for January 1930.

SUBJECT

Employment of a Sanitary Inspector for each Taluk in the Chitaldrug Dt Board.

ff 18) To the Dt Chitaldrug,
no L 5487 of 21.1.30.
States that the Board should employ only such number of Sanitary Inspectors as are necessary for carrying on of the Board's works etc

6/2/30

General and Revenue Secretariat | R/3 | File No. 25 of 192 9.

Serial No.

10 Proc. Dt 3.10.29 Capital Draft No. C.231 Dy 3.10.29 Requests Sanction to the entertainment of a Sanitary Inspector for each Taluk, but the cost being recovered from Panchayats by recovering a contribution of 3 pie of the annual income for each of the Panchayats in the Dist. In consequence upon the abolition of the post of Village Panchayat Inspectors in the Dist, it is now stated that 3 Sanitary Inspectors employed as V.P. Inspectors will be thrown out & will have to be provided for as they have already put in 7 years service. For this reason & for the reason that a Sanitary Inspector is required for each Taluk to look after the various D.P. & Panchayat works, it is now proposed to have a Sany Inspector for each Taluk, instead of for two Taluks as at present. The ~~additional~~ total cost is Estimated at Rs 12900

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 866—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

out of which Rs 2960 is debitable to Municipalities, Rs 4500 to Panchayats & Rs 5460 to Dist Boards. The Panchayats are reported to have agreed to pay their indirectly but it is not stated if the Municipalities have also agreed to bear their portion the ~~Govt~~ extra cost involved to— The Dt Board is Rs 900 only

2. The object in abolishing the posts of the Panchayat Inspectors in the Dist is to gradually entrust their work to the Rev Inspectors & Village Officers. The present proposal of the Dt Bd simply amounts to substitution of the Panchayat Inspectors by Sanitary Inspectors

Send for orders.

1xx
8/10.

V The proposals are to employ Sanitary Inspectors for each Taluk & Sub-Taluk in the District & to recover 8/10 of the cost from the Village Panchayats.

In the prescribed statement

3.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 866—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

1772
LSG

3

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

A- Calculation made of receipts on account of loan of services to Municipalities. There is no information as to which municipalities have applied for loan of said Officers from the Collectors & if the Collectors are in need of said Officers they should not employ them themselves or another Officer instead of depending on the Govt Officers. This point has to be made clear.

4 There is also the principle of the village Panchayats contributing 3% of the pay of the said Officers who are in their employ & the extent with the work relating to V.Bs. in the Taluks the Revenue will have to be concerned as the Officers being entrusted administratively over the V.Bs funds.

5 Properly speaking the Govt should entertain only such number of Officers as are required for execution of their works, & they should have nothing to do with V.Bs or their necessities. If the necessity for the loan of services & contribution of V.Bs is accepted, then the Govt proposals may be considered. There will have to be settled principles

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 666—GPB—80,000—26-7-29

6 Draft requiring information in Statement Ben. 20/10

177 292

App. F. III—2] 4

General and Revenue Secretariat | K.B File No. 24 of 1929.

Serial No.

Draft pictured

23/10

8

25/10

11 W.K. 3200 dt 4-11-29 to the Pdt, DC Nw, Chitaldrug.

Regts him to ~~estimate~~ specify the municipalities that have applied for the loan of the services of the four Sanitary Inspectors from the Dt Board & state why the municipalities themselves should not employ the Sanitary Inspectors on a smaller pay.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 24 OCT. 1929 L. S. G. BRANCH

12 From the Dy Comr. Chitaldrug No C.231 dt 12-11-29 stating that the four Sanitary Inspectors referred to are at present employed in the Chitaldrug, Chellakere, Harihar & Davangere municipalities as per details noted

9) Send with reply thereon 6 enclosures

16-11-29 Genl Secy 19/11/29

GEN. & REV. SECTT. No. 62/29-30 22 NOV. 1929 L. S. G. BRANCH

10) Forwarded with compts to the Revenue Commissioner for favour of remarks

8617

Bnamamurthy 21.11.29

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE. Note—Consn. Sheet No. NOV 23 1929

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

C2090 29.30.

20/11/29

Serial No.

W2 Dis HD 8/29.3°
9.12.29

11) Returned with compliments
It is not possible to
give any calculation from Village
Panchayat funds towards the pay of
Sanitary Inspectors the works for
which they are employed having to
be carried out by the D.B. and
being of a district benefit to
any individual village. If the
help of the Sanitary Inspectors is
required for any special works
required by V.P. then there is no objection
to pay for such services but
such occasions are rare

[illegible] Chetput
9.12.29 AC

RG
10/12

12) Kindly prepare a
statement showing in accordance
with Mr. Alexander's views as above

14/12

13)
Draft herewith

11/12

13

Revised draft herewith
calling for information
as regards Mr. Savery
Inspr's attending to the
works in the Panchayats

14/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

15

Secretary
Vide G.O. 4762 dy 23.12.29

1.1.30

3489

App. F. III—21.

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No 29 of 1929

Serial No. 16

Letter no. C 231/29-30 dated 29th Dec 1929, from the Deputy Commissioner Allahabad District furnishing the information

The Dy Commr states that the entire pay and allowances of the four Sanitary Inspectors are borne by the Concerned Municipalities wherein they ~~are~~ serving.

Submitted with reference to Para 4. There is 3.1.30 therefore no reason why the four Sanitary Inspectors should be treated as having ~~claim~~ to the Provincial.

Draft revised and Submitted

4/1/30

A revised accepted draft is put up

7-1-30

2nd Member

22/8/1

C.S.J. 10/1/30

10/1

The explanation of the Secretary Mr Rai Bahadur Pandit Sheo Narain is right

4/1

Mr R.R. 7/1/30

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
11 JAN. 1930
No. 5-1536-31

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

App. F. III—21

General and Revenue Secretariat L/3 File No. 29 of 192 9.

Serial No.	
18.	Principal Wrns Chitaldrug No L 5268 dy. 21-1-30 states that for reasons given the BO should employ only Rs many Scavenging Inspectors as are necessary & states that if necessary proposals may be sent accordingly

GEN. & REV. SECTT
25 JAN. 1930
L. S. G. BRANCH

Note—Contn'. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

In all future correspondence please quote
No. C 231 | 29-30
Encls. 2

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
Chitaldrug, dated 30th October 1929.

GEN. REV. SECTT.
1772
11 OCT. 1929
L. S. G. BRANCH

FROM

C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, ESQ.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

KB 29-29-10

To B. Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Genl: SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to Government order No. L. 1041-50 V.P.| 45-28-6 dated the 6th August 1929 directing the abolition of the Village Panchayat Inspectors in this District, I have the honor to state that there are at present four Village Panchayat Inspectors employed in this District. Of these, 3 are Sanitary Inspectors and one is a Revenue Inspector. Consequent on the abolition of Taluk Boards, it was proposed to retain only five out of the then existing Sanitary Inspectors under the District Board. While the question of absorbing the thrown-out Sanitary Inspectors was under correspondence, the post of Panchayat Inspectors was sanctioned and the thrown-out Sanitary Inspectors were appointed as Panchayat Inspectors. Now that these posts have been ordered to be abolished, it has become imperative to absorb them as they have put in a service of not less than 7 years in each case. The question of absorbing them was brought up before the District Board meeting held on 28th September 1929. There are a large number of District Fund incomplete works on hand with the prospect of a larger number of works being undertaken by the panchayats themselves in future. A large number of well works have been sanctioned and taken on hand in connection with the guinea worm control measures. The opening out of inter-village communications have been undertaken by the panchayats. All these require the services of a trained official for each Taluk as the existing arrangement of one Sanitary Inspector for two Taluks is not working satisfactorily. For these reasons, it was urged by the members of the District Board that a Sanitary Inspector should be appointed for each Taluk, the works of village panchayats also being entrusted to them. This measure is calculated to ensure

as Taluk Sheristedar at Rs 72 P.M.

(29)

4

Total Rs 2,238

Sanitary Inspectors lent to Municipalities.

4 Sanitary Inspectors at a cost of Rs 205 P.M.		2,460
Add allowances at Rs 10 P.M.		480
	Rs	2,940

Inspectors lent to Municipalities.		2,940
Grand Total Rs		12,900
Deduct		
Cost debitable to municipalities as above.	Rs 2,940	
Cost debitable to village panchayats.	4,500	7,440
Net cost debitable to District Funds as per proposals now submitted.		Rs 5,460
Net increase.		Rs 90

B. Sreenivasa Rao

Deputy Commissioner & President,
Chitaldrug District.

4.10.29

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section KB

File No. 29-29

Serial No. 11

No. L3200

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Pres. Dt. Bd. Chittoor

Date of issue 4-11-29

Sir

Signed by Wm Dk Sayer

With reference to
letter No. C. 231. Dt. 3.10.29
requesting sanction
to the employment
of a Sanitary Inspector
for each Taluk & the
Taluk in the Dist.,
3.70 of the contingency
received from Panchayat, I am
directed to observe
that in the proposition

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29

L.B. 29-29-12

In all future correspondence please quote
No. 6231
Encls. 3 to 30

Log Mr. 14/11

Mr. RR

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
Chitaldrug, dated 12th Novr. *1929*.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 2292 14 NOV. 1929 L. S. G. BRANCH

FROM

C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, ESQ.,
Dy Commr & PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

To B. Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Genl. SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 3200/L.B. 29-29-11 dated the 4th November 1929, I have the honor to state that the four Sanitary Inspectors referred to are at present employed in the marginally noted Municipalities. They are shown under the cadre of District Board Sanitary Inspectors in the proposition statement submitted with this office letter of the 3rd October 1929 with a view to allow a free exchange from time to time of these subordinates between the Municipalities and the District Board, as otherwise a Sanitary Inspector will have to remain in a Municipality throughout his service which in my opinion is not conducive to efficiency.

No.	Name of Sanitary Inspector.	Name of Municipality in which he is working.	Pay
1.	N. D. Sarode	Chitaldrug	Rs. 49
2.	Subbia Setty	Chellakere	39
3.	Mr. N. Hanumanta-Rao.	Davangere	72
4.	B. Krishna Murty	Harihar	45

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner & ~~President~~.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section LB
File No. 29-29
Serial No. 15
No. L 4762

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *192*

To. Asst Director
Medical Services

Date of issue 23-12-29

Signed by W. Hicks Dyer

Sir

With reference to your letter No. C 231 dated 12-11-29 furnishing the names etc. of the Sanitary Inspectors employed on the meetings of Chiefs, Chiefs Assistants etc. at a cost of Rs 2940 I am directed to enquire whether the whole of your arrangements are now complete and all arrangements are approved.

over

List of enclosures..... 2 Returns

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

In all future correspondence please quote
No. C 2311/9
ENCLS: .....

L.B 29-29-16. 850

Deputy Commissioner's

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
Chitaldrug, dated 29th Decr 1929.

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
3489
2 JAN. 1930
L. S. G. BRANCH

FROM

C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, ESQ.,
Dy. Commr: & PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

To

R. ~~Ranga~~ Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 4762/L.B. 29-29-2 dated 23rd December 1929 enquiring whether the entire pay and allowances of the four Sanitary Inspectors employed in the marginally-noted Municipalities are borne by the Municipal Councils concerned &c., I have the honor to reply in the affirmative.

1. Chitaldrug
2. Chellakere
3. Davangere
4. Harihar

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner and
President.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L5487
LB29-19-18

Bangalore, Dated, 21st January 1930

From

R. RANGA RAO, Esq., B. A., B. L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

C. S. Kuppuswami Iyengar, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner and President, District Board,
Chitaldrug.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.231 dated 3rd October 1929, and the subsequent correspondence on the subject, requesting sanction to the employment of a Sanitary Inspector for each Taluk in the District, the works of the Village Panchayets also being entrusted to the Sanitary Inspectors and 3 per cent of the cost being recovered from the Village Panchayets, I am directed to state that the Revenue Commissioner who was consulted in the matter has reported that it is not possible to contribute from the Village Panchayet Funds towards the pay of the Sanitary Inspectors, as the works for which they are proposed to be employed are to be carried out by the District Board and they are only of indirect benefit to the individual Villages and that if on rare occasions the help of the Sanitary Inspector is requisitioned for any specific work required by a Village Panchayet, there will be no objection to pay for such services from the funds of the Panchayet. Further it is not necessary to treat the four Sanitary Inspectors employed in the Chitaldrug Chellakere, Davangere and Harihar Municipalities as District Board Sanitary Inspectors lent to the Municipal Councils concerned. The Board should employ only such number of Sanitary Inspectors as are necessary for the carrying on of the Board's works.

2. If necessary revised proposals in accordance with the above

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section KB

File No. 29-29

Serial No. 18

No. K 5687

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To Prest Dtrd &
Mr (D.C. Chitaldroog

Date of issue 21/1/30

Signed by [illegible]

Sir

With reference
to your letter No.
C 236 Dy 12-10-29 and
the subsequent corres-
pondence on the
subject, requesting
sanction to the em-
ployment of a
Survey Inspector for
each Taluk in the
District, the works of the
Kittur & Ramdurg etc

List of enclosures.....

Copied by [illegible]

Examined by.....

over

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet, draft form.*)

Further it is not necessary to bring to the notice of Inspectors employed in the Collectorate, Darrang and Nowgong Collectorates as Dy. Sr. & Inspectors that the Board considers it necessary under the circumstances stated in the 2nd para of the Secretary's letter to ~~the~~ 2nd cited to encourage the Secretary & Inspectors in the Eastern Districts the Board's recommendations

Thanks employ only such Sub-Inspectors as are necessary for the carrying on of the Boards works

3 If necessary which proposals in accordance with the above views may be sent up

8/1

8/1

6/1/30

Secretary

8/1/30